



# Pro-Life Candidates – Kings County

PO Box 935, Sacramento, CA 95812.  
(800) 924-2490

PRIMARY ELECTION 2020

Our organization is a non-profit, non-partisan, non-sectarian organization, dedicated to the legal protection of innocent lives. We are the state affiliate of the National Right to Life.

<b>President: President Trump is ProLife</b> All major Democrat nominees for President support unlimited abortion on demand as required by Planned Parenthood and Democrat Party ideology. (NRL News <a href="http://nationalrighttolifeneews.org/2019/05/2020">nationalrighttolifeneews.org/2019/05/2020</a> )	<b>U.S. Representatives:</b> <b>CD 21: David Valadao</b>	<b>State Senate:</b> <b>SD :</b>
<b>State Assembly:</b> <b>AD 32: Ted Cotta</b>		<b>County Supervisor:</b>

These candidates oppose the killing of preborn children for sex selection, convenience and birth control, uphold strong traditional family values and oppose assisted suicide and euthanasia. Evaluation is based on voting record, questionnaire response, and/or public statement.

<b>Proposition 13 vote "No"</b> . Heavy ideological influence of pro-abortion and anti-family entities (CTA and NEA unions) have squandered and redirected school funds that were intended for 'repairs, renewals, and replacements.' (EC Section 17070.75). This unconscionable redirection of school funding for ideological purposes should not be honored. In addition, the \$6.9billion of annual income from the State Lotto dedicated to schools has been squandered by the political machinery of these entities conducting social-justice experiments on California's public-school children.	<b>Democratic Party Platform:</b> "...abortion must be part of comprehensive...health care." <b>Republican Party Platform</b> "The unborn child has a fundamental right to life which cannot be infringed" <b>For JUDGES – WE RECOMMEND:</b> JUDGEVOTERGUIDE.COM
--	---

The distribution of literature today is a First Amendment, free-speech activity performed as a public service. It is not done in the name of any church or church leader. Literature distributed is the legal property of the intended recipient, and disruption of distribution is a direct violation of law under United States Supreme Court rulings. *Pruneyard Shopping Center v. Robins*, 447 U.S. 74 (1980), et seq.

Paid for by California ProLife Council PAC



# Pro-Life Candidates – Kings County

PO Box 935, Sacramento, CA 95812.

PRIMARY ELECTION 2020

(800) 924-2490

Our organization is a non-profit, non-partisan, non-sectarian organization, dedicated to the legal protection of innocent lives. We are the state affiliate of the National Right to Life.

<b>President:</b> All major Democrat nominees for President support unlimited abortion on demand as required by Planned Parenthood and Democrat Party ideology. (NRL News <a href="http://nationalrighttolifeneews.org/2019/05/2020">nationalrighttolifeneews.org/2019/05/2020</a> )	<b>U.S. Representatives:</b> <b>CD 21: David Valadao</b>	<b>State Senate:</b> <b>SD #:</b>
<b>State Assembly:</b> <b>AD 32: Ted Cotta</b>		<b>County Supervisor:</b>

These candidates oppose the killing of preborn children for sex selection, convenience and birth control, uphold strong traditional family values and oppose assisted suicide and euthanasia. Evaluation is based on voting record, questionnaire response, and/or public statement.

<b>Proposition 13 vote "No"</b> . Heavy ideological influence of pro-abortion and anti-family entities (CTA and NEA unions) have squandered and redirected school funds that were intended for 'repairs, renewals, and replacements.' (EC Section 17070.75). This unconscionable redirection of school funding for ideological purposes should not be honored. In addition, the \$6.9billion of annual income from the State Lotto dedicated to schools has been squandered by the political machinery of these entities conducting social-justice experiments on California's public-school children.	<b>Democratic Party Platform:</b> "...abortion must be part of comprehensive...health care." <b>Republican Party Platform</b> "The unborn child has a fundamental right to life which cannot be infringed" <b>For JUDGES – WE RECOMMEND:</b> JUDGEVOTERGUIDE.COM
--	---

The distribution of literature today is a First Amendment, free-speech activity performed as a public service. It is not done in the name of any church or church leader. Literature distributed is the legal property of the intended recipient, and disruption of distribution is a direct violation of law under United States Supreme Court rulings. *Pruneyard Shopping Center v. Robins*, 447 U.S. 74 (1980), et seq.

Paid for by California ProLife Council PAC